UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/587,425 | 11/05/2007 | Samantha Jones | 360.8420USU | 8016 |
| | 7590 | EXAMINER | | |
| ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR | | | LIN, KUANG Y | |
| STAMFORD, CT 06901 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1793 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/24/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/587,425 | JONES, SAMANTHA | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Kuang Y. Lin | 1793 | | |

| | Kuang Y. Lin | 1793 | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 4/16/09 & 4/21/09 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v | i, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). | date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | n. LED WITHIN TWO | | | | |
| nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially red | E below); lucing or simplifying tl | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | cted claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15. | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| | /Kuang Y. Lin/ | | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1793 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments to claims 1 and 15 raise new issues.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) applicant stated that the polymers of Moore are not cross-linked and the only mention of cross-linking in Moore is between the colloidal particles, and not within the polymer setting agent itself. However, in col. 8, lines 32+ of Moore, it states that polyfunctional organic acids and their salts can be used as setting agents for the positive sol coating. The setting effect of these polyanionic materials is due to the strong interaction between the anionic portions of the molecules and the positively charged particles. The polyanionic materials have a cross-linking structure. It further states in col. 9, line 46 through col.10, line 23 that those materials may be polymers. Thus, Moore does disclose the use of a polymer with cross-linking structure as a setting agent. (2) applicant failed to provide a petition for extension of time in the amendment dated 4/21/09. Thus, the peroid for reply expires four months from the mailing date of the final rejection.